### Secure Development Processes

SecAppDev2009

#### What's the problem?

- Writing *secure* software is tough
- Newcomers often are overwhelmed
  - Fear of making mistakes can hinder
- Tend to delve into security superficially
  - Pen testing
  - Purchase a source code analyzer

- Business needs software dev to be
  - Predictable
  - Repeatable
  - Reliable
- This can drive the need for a solid process
  - Consistently applied

#### **Consider a Secure SDLC**

- Several to choose from
- Enough good in each to consider all
  - Look carefully at each author's perspective
- Apply consistently and measure



## Who are the players?

- Microsoft
  - Secure Development Lifecycle
  - "The Security Development Lifecycle," Michael Howard and Steve Lipner, Microsoft Press, ISBN 978-0-7356-2214-2
- Cigital
  - "Touchpoint" process
  - "Software Security: Building Security In," Gary McGraw, Addison-Wesley, ISBN 0-321-35670-5
  - <u>http://BuildSecurityIn.US-CERT.gov</u>
- OWASP
  - Comprehensive Lightweight Application Security Process (CLASP)
  - <u>http://www.owasp.org/index.php/OWASP\_CLASP\_Project</u>

#### **MS-SDL** Overview

#### • Consists of 12 stages

- Stage 0: Education and awareness
- Stage 1: Project inception
- Stage 2: Define and follow design best practices
- Stage 3: Product risk assessment
- Stage 4: Risk analysis
- Stage 5: Creating security documents, tools, and best practices for customers
- Stage 6: Secure coding policies

#### MS-SDL Overview, cont'd

- Stage 7: Secure testing policies
- Stage 8: The security push
- Stage 9: The final security review
- Stage 10: Security response planning
- Stage 11: Product release
- Stage 12: Security response execution

# Stage 0: Education and Awareness

- Good stuff, make sure your developers understand what needs to be done and why
- Knowledge management should include
  - Attacks and how to prevent, detect, respond
  - Language pitfalls
  - Secure design patterns
  - How to apply the SDLC
- Developers should get annual training
  - Novice through expert

### **Stage 1: Project Inception**

#### • Decide on each of the following:

- Should app be written to SDL?
- Security advisor
- Security leadership team
  - Roles, responsibilities, expectations
- Bug tracking process
- "Bug bar"

#### Stage 2: Design Best Practices

Define and follow, based on
Secure design principles
Think Saltzer and Schroeder

Attack surface analysis and reduction

### Stage 3: Product Risk Assessment

- Analyze the product's functions and their "danger" levels
  - Use their sample questionnaire as a starting point
- Determine the privacy impact
- How much effort should be applied?

#### Stage 4: Risk Analysis

#### • This one really comes down to

- Threat modeling
- Using threat model to aid code review
- Using threat model to aid testing
- Determine key success factors and metrics
- Guided by
  - STRIDE (Spoofing, Tampering, Repudiation, Info disclosure, DoS, Elevation)
  - DREAD (Damage, Reproducibility, Exploitability, Affected Users, Discoverability)

### Stage 5: Customer focus

- Creating security documents, tools, and best practices for customers
  - Help your customers run your application securely
  - Security features, settings, file access controls, etc.

# Stage 6: Secure Coding Policies

- Ensure each of the following
  - Use latest compiler, library, and features
  - Do source code analysis (with tools)
  - Avoid banned functions (and don't re-invent them)
  - Avoid exploitable constructs or designs
  - Follow a secure coding checklist

# Stage 7: Secure Testing Policies

- Basically, get (way) beyond the penetration test
  - Fuzzing
  - Penetration testing
  - Run-time verification
  - Update threat models
  - Update attack surface

### Stage 8: The Security Push

- Basically, a concerted effort to ensure everything was done right, just before launch
  - Check and double check everything

# **Stage 9: Final Security Review**

- Fundamentally, answer whether the product is ready to ship
  - Validate unfixed bugs (and why)
  - Verify we did all that other stuff
  - Team sign-off

# Stage 10: Security Response Planning

• What do we do when things go wrong?

- Specifically, the *dev* team
- Plan for it
- Designate the team
- Ensure facilities are available

### **Stage 11: Product Release**

- Does it dump core? Ship it!
- Final coordination of product security issues
  - Product support staff ready?
  - Update server functional?

#### Stage 12: Security Response Execution

- Follow the plan
  - Don't (kernel) panic
- Iterate as necessary
- Capture lessons learned
- Feedback loop to product dev team

### Cigital's "Touchpoints"

- Built by McGraw et al over time
  - Perspective is consulting services
- Consists of three pillars
  - Risk management
  - Knowledge
  - Touchpoints



#### **Artifact-driven**

- Touchpoints represent process-agnostic reviews that can be done on each dev artifact
  - Enables the security effort to adapt to any SDLC methodology
- Guiding principle is to not change dev process, but to deeply integrate with it

#### **The Touchpoints**



#### **Touchpoint 1: Code review**

- Code review is a necessary evil
- Better coding practices make the job easier
- Automated tools help catch silly errors
  - Fortify/dev (Cigital rules)

	-							
nomerenciacesity/basecomponentremplates	/howe/entk/tarestry/endine/kawesnace_tava							
home/eti/apessity/BindingException.java home/eti/apestiy/Aciting.yava home/eti/apestiy/Aciting.yava home/eti/apestiy/Asset_java home/eti/apestiy/Binding.java home/eti/apestiy/Binding.java home/eti/apestiy/Comporent_java home/eti/apestiy/Comporent_java home/eti/apestiy/Comporent_java	57 retu 58 } 59 60 public I 61 4	return _specification.getServiceHanes(); public LibrarySpecification getSpecification()						
	62 <b>e</b> tu	return _specification;						
	63 } 64 65 private	Scan Name: Fri Dec 12 17:55:36 PST 2008 Scan Start: De				< 12, 2003 5	55:36 PM	
home/enk/tapestry/IExternalPage.java	66 (	Status File Location	File Name ¥	Line	Name	Category	Severity	Analysis
home/enix/tagestry/in onit.java	67 17	Not /home/erik/t	Idollocator ima	70	Vome(Generoto	INIT-01	Hiab	
home/enk/tapestry/Location.lava	68	Not Thome (erik)t	IciAllocator java	72	dallocator	INIT-01	High	
home/erik/tapestry/lLocationHolder.java	09	blat /home/erik/t	idallocator java	72	déllocator	CIN-02	low	-
home/erik/tapestry/IMarkupWriter.java	70 ST/1	blot /home/erik/t	Iciállocator java	78	NameGenerato	FIN-02	High	
home/erik/tapestry/IMessages.java	72 //	Not //home/erik/t	idellocator java	76	NameGenerator	SER-01	low	
home/erik/tapestry/INamespace.java	12 // 1	Not /home/erik/t	Idéllocator izva	72	dallocator	FIN-05	High	
home/erik/tapestry/IPage.java	74 1-	Not /home/erik/t	idAllocator java	98	dAllocator: allo	FIN-04	High	
tome/enk/tapestry/iRender.java	75	Not /home/erik/t	IdAllocator, java	74	dAllocator:	FIN-02	High	
tome/enit/tapestry/iRequestCycle.java	76	Not /home/erik/t	IdAllocator, java	72	dAllocator	SER-01	Low	
omelani (tanestni) Pesourcel or ation iava	77 ret	Not /home/erik/t	Iddllocator java	127	dAllocator::clear	FIN-04	High	
	78	Not /home/erik/t	IdAllocator, java	76	NameGenerator	CUN-02	Low	
Source Files Include Directories Scans	•	Not /home/erik/t	IdAllocator.iava	76	NameGenerator	SER-05	Low	
Scanner> /home/erik/tabestrv/wml/Card.lava. Issues =	S	Not /home/erik/t	IfRule. java	79	Rule; endEem	FIN-04	High	
Scanner> /home/erik/tapestry/wml/Deck.java, Issues	Not /home/erik/t	IfRule, java	70	'Rule	SER-01	LOW		
Scanner> /home/erik/tapestry/wml/Do.java, Issues = !	Not /home/erik/t	IfRule.java	84	'Rule: startEle	FIN-04	High		
Scanner> /home/erik/tapestry/wml/Go.java, Issues = 1	Not /home/erik/t	IfRule java	70	fRule	CLN-02	Low		
Scanner> /home/erik/tapestry/wml/GoLinkRenderer.jav	Not /home/erik/t	IfRule java	72	Rule: condition	FIN-02	High		
5canner> /home/erik/tapestry/wml/Image.java, Issues	Not /home/erik/t	ifRule.java	70	(Rule	SER-05	Low		
Scanner» /home/erik/tapestry/wml/Input.java, Issues :	Not /home/erik/t	lfRule java	70	fRule	FIN-05	High		
the second secon		Not /home/erik/t	IfToken ima	90	Token write	FINI-04	High	

- Implementation errors do matter
  - Buffer overflows can be uncovered with static analysis
  - Fortify SCA
    - Over 500 C/C++ rules
    - Over 100 Java rules
- Tracing back from vulnerable location to input is critical
  - Software exploits
  - Attacking code

# Touchpoint 2: Architectural risk analysis

- Build a one page white board design model
- Use hypothesis testing to categorize risks
  - Threat modeling/Attack patterns
- Rank risks
- Tie to business context
- Suggest fixes
- Repeat





#### **Touchpoint 3: Penetration testing**

- A very good idea since software is bound in an environment
- How does the complete system work in practice?
  - Interaction with network security mechanisms
  - Firewalls
  - Applied cryptography
- Penetration testing should be driven by risks uncovered throughout the lifecycle
- Not a silver bullet!



### **Touchpoint 4: Security testing**

#### • Test security functionality

- Cover non-functional requirements
- Security software probing

#### • Risk-based testing

- Use architectural risk analysis results to drive scenario-based testing
- Concentrate on what "you can't do"
- Think like an attacker
- Informed red teaming

#### **Touchpoint 5: Abuse cases**

- Use cases formalize normative behavior (and assume correct usage)
- Describing non-normative behavior is a good idea
  - Prepare for abnormal behavior (attack)
  - Misuse or abuse cases do this
  - Uncover exceptional cases
- Leverage the fact that designers know more about their system than potential attackers do
- Document explicitly what the software will do in the face of illegitimate use
- Think like an attacker!

# Touchpoint 6: Security requirements

- Some security functionality maps naturally to clear requirements
  - Medical data should be cryptographically protected
  - Strongly authenticate users
  - Meet GLBA regulatory guidelines

- But do not forget that security is an emergent property of a complete system
  - An attacker needs to find only one hole
  - "Do not allow buffer overflows" is not much of a requirement!
  - "Make it secure" is vague

# Touchpoint 7: Security operations

- Use your resources!
- Network security people know an awful lot about real attacks
- Involve knowledgeable security people in as many touchpoint activities as possible
- Fine tune the deployed environment to the specific needs of your application
  - "Standard OS build" process is not enough



# OWASP's CLASP

#### • Built on seven best practices

- Institute awareness programs
- Perform application assessments
- Capture security requirements
- Implement secure dev processes
- Build vulnerability remediation procedures
- Define and monitor metrics
- Publish operational security guidelines



# **OWASP's CLASP**

#### Built on seven best practices

- Institute awareness programs
- Perform application assessments
- Capture security requirements
- Implement secure dev processes
- Build vulnerability remediation procedures
- Define and monitor metrics
- Publish operational security guidelines

#### **Documentation**

 CLASP is open source and available for download:

<u>http://www.list.org/~chandra/clasp/OWASP-</u> <u>CLASP.zip</u>

# The Good

#### • Microsoft

- Roles and responsibilities
- Planning for incidents
- Customer tips
- Positive practices
- Testing

- Cigital
  - Review-based
  - Depth of ARA
  - Code reviews
- OWASP
  - Free and open
  - Security requirements
  - Metrics

#### The Not-So-Good

#### • Microsoft

- Pretty heavy
- Designed for MS
- Cigital
  - Review-centric
  - Light on positive practices

OWASP
Lots of details yet to be finished

## **Considerations in Choosing**

- One size does NOT fit all
- Cultural issues
  - Dev org size
  - How "process heavy" are you now?
  - Across entire organization



# Plan Your Own Hybrid

- Look at each process
- Which components are likely to work best *for you*?
  - Feasibility is vital
  - Sometimes *best* isn't better
- Think things through carefully



### **Plan of Action**

- What is in place now?
- Target process
- Gap analysis
- Chart a course
  - Small steps
  - Defect data helps to prioritize steps
- Buy-in is essential



#### **Other Considerations**

#### • Designate a lead

- Be available to answer questions
- Document your process
- Provide clear guidelines on how to implement
- Some developers "allergic" to process

- Allow for feedback
  - Adapt as necessary
- Publish results
  - Tips and pitfalls
  - Case studies
- Applying consistently is important
- None of this will happen by itself

#### Kenneth R. van Wyk KRvW Associates, LLC

# Ken@KRvW.com

#### http://www.KRvW.com

